DOI: https://doi.org/10.38035/dijemss.v6i1 https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Testing of MSME Financial Performance Model in Indonesia with Financial Technology Moderation and Green Innovation Towards Advanced Indonesia

Tandry Whittleliang Hakki^{1*}, Merna Surjadi², Jocelyn³

¹Universitas Bunda Mulia, Jakarta, Indonesia, <u>tandry.whittle.hakki@gmail.com</u>
²Universitas Bunda Mulia, Jakarta, Indonesia, <u>mernasurjadi@gmail.com</u>
³Universitas Bunda Mulia, Jakarta, Indonesia, <u>mernasurjadi@gmail.com</u>

³Universitas Bunda Mulia, Jakarta, Indonesia, <u>s11220012@student.ubm.ac.id</u>

Corresponding Author: tandry.whittle.hakki@gmail.com¹

Abstract: Medium enterprises are a fairly important part of the business sector in playing a real role in various economic interests in national development, especially for the creation of businesses and jobs, especially after the Covid-19 pandemic in 2023. This is supported by the Ministry of Tourism and Creative Economy, which was stated by the Minister of Tourism and Creative Economy/Head of the Tourism and Creative Economy Agency, Mr. Sandiaga Salahuddin Uno, who said that tourism and creative economy actors, especially in the MSME sector, must continue to hone their digital technology skills to boost the economy and create jobs. Based on this phenomenon, researchers are interested in researching digital technology and green innovation in the MSME sector in Indonesia. This study aims to analyze the influence of Strategic Management Accounting, MSME Digitalization, and Leadership Quality on MSME Financial Performance. Where in this study, Financial Technology and Green Innovation, which are supporting factors in addition to advances in information technology, are used as moderating variables between the variables: Strategic Management Accounting, MSME Digitalization, and Leadership Quality on MSME Financial Performance. This study uses primary data conducted by distributing questionnaires to Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises in several major cities in Indonesia, such as the target of this study, namely MSMEs in the cities of Jakarta, Denpasar, Bandung, Surabaya and Medan. The results of the study indicate that MSME Digitalization and Leadership Quality have a significant effect on MSME financial performance, but Strategic Management Accounting does not have a significant effect on MSME financial performance. Financial Technology strengthens the influence of MSME Digitalization and leadership quality on MSME financial performance but Financial Technology does not strengthen Strategic Management Accounting on MSME financial performance. Green innovation strengthens the influence of MSME digitalization on MSME financial performance but Green innovation does not strengthen the influence of Strategic Management Accounting and Leadership Quality on MSME Financial Performance

Keyword: Strategic Management Accounting; SME Digitalization; Leadership Quality; Financial Technology; Green Innovation

INTRODUCTION

Currently, Indonesia has entered the endemic period of Covid-19, the development of the business world is getting faster along with the increasing needs of the community. Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) are a business sector that produces various products and services and has an important role in creating business fields as a way out to overcome the problem of unemployment. MSMEs have a significant role in the economy of developing countries, 90 percent of business entities are MSMEs whose contribution to global labor absorption reaches 50 percent. In addition, formal small and medium enterprises contribute to 40 percent of gross domestic product in developing countries. This role is the basis for the Indonesian government to strengthen its commitment to developing MSMEs which is stated in the main strategy of the National Medium-Term Development Plan (RPJM). Based on data from the Ministry of Cooperatives, Small and Medium Enterprises in 2018, the number of MSME actors was 64.2 million or 99.99% of the number of business actors in Indonesia (Ministry of Finance, 2020). In addition, MSMEs have also succeeded in absorbing 117 million workers (97%) of the total workforce absorption, of which 89% are in the micro sector, and contribute 60% to Gross Domestic Product (Adirestuty, 2023). According to the Minister of Tourism and Creative Economy, Mr. Sandiaga Uno, tourism and creative economy actors, especially the MSME sector, must continue to hone their digital technology skills to boost the economy and create jobs. The Minister of Tourism and Creative Economy also said that the potential of MSMEs in the digital era is very large. It is recorded that 97 percent of jobs are created by MSMEs and 60 percent of the national economy is also supported by MSMEs (www.kemenparekraf.go.id/berita/siaran-pers-menparekraf, 2023). Based on the phenomenon, MSMEs help strengthen the Indonesian economy, by becoming Economic Heroes in 2023 with the aim of boosting the economy and opening up jobs so that Indonesia can avoid the global financial crisis that has an impact on world countries both in the European region and in Asian countries such as Greece and India. This is also supported by the government program through the Ministry of MSMEs which will open up employment opportunities with a target of 4.5 million new jobs by 2024, which the government has instructed to support with adequate digital technology for MSMEs. Tourism and creative economy actors, especially in the MSME sector, must continue to hone their digital technology skills to boost the economy and create jobs. (Kemenkraf, 2023). Green innovation is divided into two forms, namely green product innovation and green process innovation (Zameer et al., 2021). Green product innovation is a new idea or product development designed with the aim of reducing the impact on the environment through the use of environmentally friendly and recyclable materials (Ma et al., 2017). Meanwhile, green process innovation is a production process that uses environmentally friendly technology with the aim of creating goods or services that can minimize the negative impact on the surrounding environment. This is what makes this research interesting, this research is designed from various problems faced by MSME actors with Fintech functioning as a bridge which is a supporting factor that will strengthen or weaken between the variables: Strategic Management Accounting, MSME Digitalization, Leadership Quality towards MSME Financial Performance. In addition, the Green Innovation variable also functions as a bridge which is a supporting factor that will strengthen or weaken between the variables: Strategic Management Accounting, MSME Digitalization, Leadership Quality towards the Financial Performance of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs). The Green Innovation factor is studied in order to get another perspective on the morality of MSME actors in improving their financial performance.

METHOD

Research Object

Variabel	Indikator	Skala	No Perntayaan
	Customer Information Analysis	Ordinal	1,2
Accounting (X1)	Competitor information analysis	Ordinal	3,4
()	Scanning MSMEs to care about the Environment	Ordinal	5,6
Digitalization UMKM	Latest MSME information technology	Ordinal	1,2
(**=)	MSME services with digitalization systems	Ordinal	3,4
	MSME product marketing using Technological Advances	Ordinal	5,6
Leadership Quality (X3)	Unique service and product services for MSMEs	Ordinal	1,2
	High quality service and product services for MSMEs	Ordinal	3,4
	Environmentally Friendly service and product services	Ordinal	5,6
Financial Technology (X4_Moderasi)	1	Ordinal	1,2
	Financial Technology	Ordinal	3,4
	Perception of the benefits of Technology	Ordinal	5,6
Green Innovation (X5 Moderation)		Ordinal	1,2
· _ /	Environmentally Friendly Product Innovation	Ordinal	3,4
	Environmentally Friendly Product Policy	Ordnal	5,6
Financial performance UMKM (Y)	Environment in Company objectives	Ordinal	1,2
	Increasing the growth of company profitability	Ordinal	3,4
	Increasing the growth of company sales	Ordinal	5,6

Sumber: Data diolah Peneliti (2024)

Data Analysis Methods Normality Test

According to Ghozali (2020), the normality test is used to determine whether the data used is normally distributed. One way to see normality is to use a histogram by comparing observations with a distribution that approaches a normal distribution. If the data distribution is normal, the line that describes the data will follow its diagonal line. Normality testing in research is carried out using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistical test.

Multicollinearity Test

The multicollinearity test is used to test whether the regression model finds a correlation between independent variables. The multicollinearity test is carried out using the tolerance value and Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) (Choiriyah and Damayanti 2020). A good regression model should not have a correlation between independent variables. The basis for making decisions based on multicollinearity is as follows:

If VIF <10 and tolerance> 0.1 then there is no multicollinearity If VIF> 10 and tolerance <0.1 then there is multicollinearity

Multiple Linear Regression Analysis

The data analysis method used in this study is multiple linear regression. According to (Sugiyono, 2015) Multiple linear regression analysis is used by researchers, if researchers intend to predict how the condition (rise and fall) of the dependent variable (criterion), if two or more independent variables as predictor factors are manipulated. According to Imam Ghozali (2013:98) Regression analysis is used to measure the strength of the relationship between two or more variables, also shows the direction of the relationship between the dependent and independent variables. The accuracy of the sample regression function in estimating the actual value can be measured from its goodness of fit. Statistically, at least this can be measured from the coefficient of determination, F statistic value and t statistic value (Ghozali, 2013)

Hypothesis Testing

According to (Sugiyono, 2018) Hypothesis is a temporary answer to the formulation of research problems, usually arranged in the form of a question sentence. It is said to be temporary because the answers given are only based on relevant theories, not yet based on empirical facts obtained through data collection.

Data analysis in this study was carried out using the Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) method using Partial Least Square (PLS) assisted by smartPLS 3.0 software. The advantage of using PLS is that PLS is a powerful analysis method because it does not assume that data must be on a certain scale and the number of samples is small (Ghozali, 2011) This analysis is used to determine the effect of several independent variables (X) on the dependent variable (Y).

$$Y = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \epsilon$$
 (i)
$$Y = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_1 * X_4 + \beta_5 X_2 * X_4 + \beta_6 X_3 * X_4 + \beta_7 X_1 * X_5 + \beta_8 X_2 * X_5 + \beta_9 X_3 * X_5 + \epsilon$$
 (ii)

Description:

Y = Financial Performance of MSMEs

 $\alpha = constant$

X1 = Strategic Accounting Management

X2 = Digitalization of MSMEs

X3 = Leadership Quality

X4 = Financial Technology

X5 = Green Innovation

ε = error term

Error tolerance (a) is set at 5% with a significance level of 95%

Partial Effect Test (t-Test)

According to (Ghozali, 2018) the t-test is used to determine whether two unrelated samples have different average values and the t-test basically shows how far the influence of one independent variable is individual in explaining the variation of the dependent variable. The t-test is done by comparing the difference with the standard error. The null hypothesis (H0) to be tested is whether a parameter (bi) is equal to zero, or H0: bi = 0, meaning whether an independent variable is not a significant explanation of the independent variable. The alternative hypothesis (Ha) of a variable parameter is not equal to zero or Ha: bi \neq 0.

The test is carried out using a significance level of 0.05 (α =5%). Acceptance or rejection of the hypothesis is carried out with the following criteria: Criteria for accepting the hypothesis:

- 1) If the significant value is <0.05 and tount> ttable, then H1 is accepted
- 2) If the significant value is> 0.05 and tcount <ttable, then H1 is rejected

Simultaneous Influence Test (F Test)

According to (Ghozali, 2018) The f statistical test basically shows whether all independent variables included in the model have a joint influence on the dependent variable. To test these two hypotheses, the F statistical test is used:

Quick look: if the F value is greater than 4 then Ho can be rejected at a 5% confidence level, in other words we accept the alternative hypothesis, which states that all independent variables simultaneously and significantly affect the dependent variable.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The following are descriptive statistics of each variable studied.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive Statistics									
					Std.				
	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Deviation				
KIN_KEU	112	1.00	4.00	2.9591	1.00088				
SMA	112	1.00	4.00	1.121	0.00516				
DIG_UMKM	112	1.00	4.00	3.603	1.48875				
KUA_KEP	112	1.00	4.00	3.058	1.23211				
FIN_TECH	112	1.00	4.00	2.121	0.11121				
INO_HIJ	112	1.00	4.00	2.54	0.22121				
Valid N	112								
(listwise)									

Source: SPSS Processed Data (2024)

Validity Test

Based on the validity test, it shows that all the results of the instrument variables are valid

Reliability Test

The following are the results of the reliability test of each variable

Reliability Sta	atistics Kinerja Keuangan
Cronbach's	N of Items
Alpha	

,926 6

Reliability St	tatistics Strategic Management
Accounting	
Cronbach's	N of Items
Alpha	
,874	6

Reliability Statistics Digitalisasi UMKM				
Cronbach's	N of Items			
Alpha				
,921	6			

Reliability	Statistics	Kualitas
Kepemimpin	an	
Cronbach's	N of Items	
Alpha		
,885	5	

Reliability Statistics Financial Technology				
Cronbach's	N of Items			
Alpha				
,685	5			

Reliability	Statistics	Green	
Inovation			
Cronbach's A	Alpha		N of Items
,775			5

The results of the reliability test show that the Cronbach's Alpha value is greater than 0.6, so all the variables above are reliable.

ASUMSI KLASIK Normality Test

Table 3.
Normality Test

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test					
-		Unstandardize			
		d Residual			
N		112			
Normal Parameters ^{a,b}	Mean	.0000000			
	Std.	.774322			
	Deviation				
Most Extrem	e Absolute	.123			
Differences	Positive	.232			
	Negative	123			
Test Statistic	.223				
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.687ª				
a. Test distribution is N	ormal.	•			
b. Calculated from data	•				
CDCC D 1D					

Source: SPSS Processed Data (2024)

Based on the research results, we can see that the significance value (Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)) is 0.687 or greater than 0.05, which means that the data used for this research is normally distributed.

Heteroscedasticity test

Table 4. Heteroscedasticity test

	included a second street, test									
	Coefficients ^a									
		Unstan	dardized	Standardized						
		Coef	ficients	Coefficients						
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	T	Sig.				
1	(Constant)	.304	.730		.483	.830				
	SMA	.093	.029	746	2.280	.861				
	DIG_UMKM	.268	.225	1.199	1.077	.583				
	KUA_KEP	.171	.082	.112	2.312	.429				
	FIN_TECH	.289	.203	-1.094	1.899	.943				
	INO_HIJ	.368	.425	1.399	1.277	.683				
a. D	ependent Varial	ole: Abs	RES							

Source: SPSS Processed Data (2024)

From the table above, it can be seen that the significant value of the t-test of all independent variables with Absolute Residual (ABS_RES) is more than 0.05. So it can be concluded that in the regression model of this study there is no heteroscedasticity problem.

Multicollinearity Test

The following are the results of the multicollinearity test

Table 5. Multicollinearity test

	Coefficients ^a									
				Standardize						
		Unstandardized		d			Collin	earity		
		Coeffi	cients	Coefficients	T	Sig.	Statis	stics		
			Std.				Toleranc			
Mod	lel	В	Error	Beta			e	VIF		
1	(Constant)	5.091	1.063		4.980	.000				
	SMA	1.083	.320	.602	5.451	.000	.609	2.391		
	DIG_UMK	1.068	.380	1.838	4.918	.000	.693	2.521		
	M									
	KUA_KEP	3.040	.138	466	-3.913	.000	.619	2.906		
	FIN_TECH	1.074	.343	-1.138	-4.010	.000	.661	3.019		
	INO_HIJ	1.268	.380	1.838	4.918	.000	.693	1.521		
a. D	ependent Var	a. Dependent Variable: KIN PER								

Source: SPSS Processed Data (2024)

In the table above, we can see that there are no independent variables that have a Tolerance value of less than 0.1 and there are no independent variables that have a Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) value of more than 10. So it can be concluded that there is no multicollinearity between independent variables in the regression model.

Autocorrelation Test

The following are the results of the Autocorrelation test

Table 6
Autocorrelation Test

Model Summary ^b									
				Std. Error					
			Adjusted	of the	Durbin-				
Model	R	R Square	R Square	Estimate	Watson				
1	.924ª	.900	.875	.9117	1.723				

Source: SPSS Processed Data (2024)

The Durbin Watson value (d) in the data processing of this research result is 1.723, which means du < d < 4-du, namely: 1.6932 < 1.723 < 2.3068, this result shows that there is no autocorrelation in this research model.

Hypothesis Test

Basically, statistical tests show how far the influence of one independent variable individually can explain the variation of the dependent variable (Ghozali, 2011). The basis for making decisions for this partial test is to compare the p value with α 0.05. 1. If the significance value is <0.05 then H1 is accepted. 2. If the significance value is >0.05 then H0 is accepted. The following are the regression results

Table 7
Regression Test

Regression Test										
Coefficients ^a										
			Standardize							
	Unstandardized		d							
	Coefficients		Coefficients							
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	T	Sig.					
1 (Constant)	16.784	9.807		1.425	.016					
SMA	.527	.099	.587	.323	.101					
DIG_UMKM	1.154	1.279	.151	2.120	.005					
KUA KEP	2.565	1.169	.487	1.483	.010					
FIN_TECH	1.127	.523	1.761	2.063	.000					
INO HIJ	.220	.001	.867	1.825	.000					
SMA* FIN TECH	1.266	.051	2.833	.308	.343					
DIG UMKM*	2.140	.050	-1.397	1.255	.015					
FIN_TECH										
KUA KEP*	.213	.031	1.321	1.236	.031					
FIN_TECH										
SMA*INO HIJ	.234	.121	.213	.312	.112					
DIG_UMKM*	1.213	.031	1.321	2.332	0.02					
INO HIJ										
KUA_KEP*	.234	.121	.213	2.312	0.11					
INO_HIJ										
a. Dependent Variable: KIN_KEU										

Source: SPSS Processed Data (2024)

The results of the study indicate that MSME Digitalization and Leadership Quality have a significant effect on MSME financial performance, but Strategic Management Accounting does not have a significant effect on MSME financial performance. Financial Technology strengthens the influence of MSME Digitalization and leadership quality on MSME financial performance but Financial Technology does not strengthen Strategic Management Accounting on MSME financial performance. Green innovation strengthens the

influence of MSME digitalization on MSME financial performance but Green innovation does not strengthen the influence of Strategic Management Accounting and Leadership Quality on MSME Financial Performance

CONCLUSION

The results of the study indicate that MSME Digitalization and Leadership Quality have a significant effect on MSME financial performance, but Strategic Management Accounting does not have a significant effect on MSME financial performance. Financial Technology strengthens the influence of MSME Digitalization and leadership quality on MSME financial performance but Financial Technology does not strengthen Strategic Management Accounting on MSME financial performance. Green innovation strengthens the influence of MSME digitalization on MSME financial performance but Green innovation does not strengthen the influence of Strategic Management Accounting and Leadership Quality on MSME Financial Performance

REFERENCE

- Abbasi, K., Alam, A., Du, M. (Anna), & Huynh, T. L. D. (2021). FinTech, SME efficiency and national culture: Evidence from OECD countries. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 163, 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120454
- Ahinful, G. S., Boakye, J. D., & Osei Bempah, N. D. (2021). Determinants of SMEs' financial performance: evidence from an emerging economy. Journal of Small Business and Entrepreneurship, 1-25. https://doi.org/10.1080/08276331.2021.1885247
- Ajzen, I. 1985. From intentions to actions: A theory of planned behavior. In J. Kulh & J. Beckman (Eds). Heidelberg: Springer Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 50(2), 179–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T
- Ajzen, Icek. 2005. Attitudes, Personality and Behavior. New York. USA: Open University Press. Almulla, D., & Aljughaiman, A. A. (2021). Does financial technology matter? Evidence from an alternative banking system. Cogent Economics and Finance, 9(1), 1-21. https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2021.1934978
- Anisah, N., Franzias Crisnata, H. (2021). Analisis Tingkat Literasi Keuangan Pengguna Fintech Payment OVO. Jurnal Riset Akuntansi dan Keuangan Dewantara, 4(1), 47-60. https://ejournal.stiedewantara.ac.id/index.php/JAD/issue/view/59
- Anshika, Singla, A., & Mallik, G. (2021). Determinants of financial literacy: Empirical evidence from micro and small enterprises in India. Asia Pacific Management Review, 26(4), 248–255. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmrv.2021.03.001
- Ariani, A., & Utomo, M. N. (2017). Kajian Strategi Pengembangan Usaha Mikro Kecil dan Menengah (UMKM) di Kota Tarakan. Jurnal Organisasi Dan Manajemen, 13(2), 99–118. https://doi.org/10.33830/jom.v13i2.55.2017
- Breliastiti, R., & Josephine, K. (2017). Creating shared value (CSV) as a development of corporate social responsibility (CSR) in Indonesia. Advanced Science Letters, 23(8), 7039-7041. Chang, V., Baudier, P., Zhang, H., Xu, Q., Zhang, J., & Arami, M. (2020). How Blockchain can impact financial services The overview, challenges and recommendations from expert interviewees. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 158, 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120166
- Goswami, K., Hazarika, B., & Handique, K. (2017). Determinants of financial risk attitude among the handloom micro-entrepreneurs in North East India. Asia Pacific Management Review, 22(4), 168–175. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmrv.2017.07.013

- Hadiwardoyo, W. (2020). Kerugian Ekonomi Nasional Akibat Pandemi Covid-19. Baskara: Journal of Business and Entrepreneurship, 2(2), 83–92. https://doi.org/10.24853/baskara.2.2.83-92
- Hamidah, Nur, Rida Prihatni dan IGKA Ulupul. 2020. The Effect of Financial Literacy, Fintech (Financial Technology) and Intellectual Capital on The Performance of MSMEs in Depok City, West Java. Journal of Social Science, 1(4), 1-6.
- Harsana, M., Baiquni, M., Harmayani, E., & Widyaningsih, Y. A. (2019). Potensi Makanan Tradisional Kue Kolombeng Sebagai Daya Tarik Wisata Di Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta. Home Economics Journal, 2(2), 40–47. https://doi.org/10.21831/hej.v2i2.23291
- Hasan, M., Dzakiyyah, A., Kumalasari, D. A., Safira, N., & Aini, S. N. (2021). Transformasi Digital UMKM Sektor Kuliner Di Kelurahan Jatinegara, Jakarta Timur. Jurnal Bisnis Dan Kewirausahaan, 17(2), 135–150. https://doi.org/10.31940/jbk.v17i2.2529
- Hermiyanty, Wandira Ayu Bertin, D. S. (2017). Analisis Tingkat Literasi Keuangan Mahasiswa. Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling, 8(9), 1–58.
- Idawati, I. A. A., & Pratama, I. G. S. (2020). Pengaruh Literasi Keuangan Terhadap Kinerja dan Keberlangsungan UMKM di Kota Denpasar. Warmadewa Management and Business Journal (WMBJ), 2(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.22225/wmbj.2.1.1644.1-9
- Kadafi, M. A., Darma, D. C., Kadafi, M. A., & Lestari, D. (2020). FinTech and MSMEs Continuity: Applied in Indonesia. International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology, 29(4), 4676–4685. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/342246983 Journal
- Kamukama, N., Kyomuhangi, D. S., Akisimire, R., & Orobia, L. A. (2017). Competitive advantage: Mediator of managerial competence and financial performance of commercial banks in Uganda. African of Economic and Management Studies, 8(2), 221–234. https://doi.org/10.1108/AJEMS-10-2016-0142
- Karadag, H. (2017). The impact of industry, firm age and education level on financial management performance in small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs): Evidence from Turkey. Journal of Entrepreneurship in Emerging Economies, 9(3), 300–314. https://doi.org/10.1108/JEEE-09-2016 0037
- Lema, García-Pérez-de- D., Ruiz-Palomo, D., & Diéguez-Soto, J. (2021). Analysing the roles of CEO's financial literacy and financial constraints on Spanish SMEs technological innovation. Technology in Society, 64, 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2020.101519
- Leong, K. (2018). FinTech (Financial Technology): What is It and How to Use Technologies to Create Business Value in Fintech Way? International Journal of Innovation, Management and Technology, 9(2), 74–78. https://doi.org/10.18178/ijimt.2018.9.2.791
- Luckandi, D. (2019). Analisis Transaksi Pembayaran Menggunakan Fintech Pada UMKM Di Indonesia: Pendekatan Adaptive Structuration Theory. Jiko Stmik Akakom Yogyakarta, 4(1), 1 86. https://dspace.uii.ac.id/handle/123456789/9681
- Mukarromah, Denis., Jubaedah dan M. Astuti. 2020. Financial Performance Analysis on Micro, Small, and Medium Entreprises of Cassava Product in Cibadak, Lebak Regency, Banten. Jurnal Economia, 16(2), 257-268.
- Nika. (2019). The Role of Digital Payment Fintech in Accelerating the Development of MSMEs Indonesia. Fintech for Asian SMEs, 145-166. https://www.adb.org/sites/default/files/publication/502781/adbi-fintech-smes.pdf Rakhma Wulan, V. (2017). Financial Technology (Fintech) A New Transaction in Future. Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences, 2(1), 177-182.

- Oktavina, Larissa A. dan Mario Ria R. (2021). Digitalisasi UMKM, Literasi Keuangan, dan Kinerja Keuangan: Studi pada Masa Pandemi Covid-19. Journal of Business and Banking, 11(1), 73-92.
- Opiyo, M. O., Ondoro, C., & Obura, J. (2019). Influence of Digital Financial Information Services on Financial Performance of Commercial Banks in Kisumu Country, Kenya. International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science, 3(6), 153-156.
- Pangestu, J. C. (2021). Strategi Pengembangan Pada Perspektif Nonkeuangan Metode Balance Scorecard Terhadap Peningkatan Kinerja Keuangan Umkm Di Indonesia Saat Pandemic Covid 19. JURNAL LENTERA AKUNTANSI, 6(1), 31-47.
- Rahayu, A. Y., & Musdholifah. (2017). Pengaruh literasi keuangan terhadap kinerja dan keberlanjutan umkm di kota surabaya. Jurnal Ilmu Manajemen, 5(2016), 1-7. in Pranata,
- Rosavina, M., Rahadi, R. A., Kitri, M. L., Nuraeni, S., & Mayangsari, L. (2019). P2P lending adoption by SMEs in Indonesia. Qualitative Research in Financial Markets, 11(2), 260–279. https://doi.org/10.1108/QRFM-09-2018-0103
- Rusli, Y. M., & Surjadi, M. (2021). BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY FOR NON FINANCIAL PERSPECTIVE BALANCE SCORECARD METHODS TO INCREASE THE PERFORMANCE OF MSMEs IN INDONESIA DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC. Dinasti International Journal of Management Science, 3(2), 276-286.
- Sabana, Beatrice& Gathungu, J. M. (2014). Entrepreneur Financial Literacy, Financial Access, Transaction Costs and Performance of Micro Enterprises in Nairobi City County, Kenya. International Journal of Research in Management, Economics and Commerce, 1(2), 25–30.
- Sanistasya, P. A., Raharjo, K., & Iqbal, M. (2019). The Effect of Financial Literacy and Financial Inclusion on Small Enterprises Performance in East Kalimantan. Jurnal Economia, 15(1), 48–59. https://doi.org/10.21831/economia.v15i1.23192
- Saragih, E. V. O., & Nugroho, S. (2015). Makna Ketersediaan Trans Sarbagita Jalur Denpasar-Gwk Bagi Pariwisata Bali. Jurnal Destinasi Pariwisata, 3(2), 7–13. Journal
- Saunila, M. (2014). Innovation capability for SME success: perspectives of financial and operational performance. of Advances in Management Research, 11(2), 163–175. https://doi.org/10.1108/JAMR-11-2013-0063
- Sudaryanti, D. S., Sahroni, N., & Kurniawati, A. (2018). Analisa Pengaruh Mobile Banking terhadap Kinerja Perusahaan Sektor Perbankan yang Tercatat di Bursa Efek Indonesia. Jurnal Ekonomi Manajemen, 4, 96–107. http://jurnal.unsil.ac.id/index.php/jem
- Surjadi, M. (2023). PENGARUH MORAL PAJAK DAN ETIKA UANG TERHADAP KEPATUHAN WAJIB PAJAK UMKM DENGAN PERAN SISTEM DIGITALISASI PAJAK SEBAGAI PEMODERASI SAAT ERA NEW NORMAL PANDEMIK COVID-19. Jurnal Analisa Akuntansi dan Perpajakan, 7(1), 1-18.
- Surjadi, M., Hakki, T. W., & Saputra, F. (2023). Improving Msme Performance: Management Accounting Strategies, Leadership Quality and Market Orientation. Journal of Accounting and Finance Management, 4(3), 250-257.
- Suryanto, Rusdin dan R.Meisa Dai. 2020. Fintech as A Catalyst for Growth of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises in Indonesia. Academy of Strategic Management Journal, 19(5), 1-12. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/344819009
- Susan, M. (2020). Financial literacy and growth of micro, small, and medium enterprises in west java, indonesia. In International Symposia in Economic Theory and

- Econometrics, 27, 39–48. Emerald Group Publishing Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1108/S1571-03862020000027004
- Sutarmin, O. :, & Susanto, A. (2017). Potensi Pengembangan Transaksi Non Tunai di Indonesia. Sustainable Competitive Advantage (SCA), 7 (1), 1-12.
- Temy Setiawan, S. E., Ak, M., & Th, M. (2022). Mahir Akuntansi untuk Usaha Mikro, Kecil, dan Menengah. Bhuana Ilmu Populer. Finance
- Unsal, O., & Rayfield, B. (2019). Trends in financial innovation: Evidence from fintech firms. In International Review 20, 15–25. Emerald Group Publishing Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1108/S1569-376720190000020004
- Wahyono, & Hutahayan, B. (2021). The relationships between market orientation, learning orientation, financial literacy, on the knowledge competence, innovation, and performance of small and medium textile industries in Java and Bali. Asia Pacific Management Review, 26(1), 39–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmrv.2020.07.001
- Ye, J., & Kulathunga, K. M. M. C. B. (2019). How does financial literacy promote sustainability in SMEs? A developing country perspective. Sustainability (Switzerland), 11(10), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11102990